GPN-J-332-ARHCB-8_94-13,10,500-ALA4.
SPL.H.C.A.S.C.D. 79e.

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.
IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE SIDE.
CIVIL REVISION APPLICATION NO. 145 OF 2004

Bajaj Auto Ltd. ....Applicant.

versus

Mrs. Sharayu Jugalkishore Gupta & ors....Respondents.

Office Notes, Office Memorada orders or directions and Registrar‘s orders.	Court‘s or Judge‘s Orders.

Shri Tejas Deshpande for J.R. Ganbavale for the petitioner.

Mrs. Vinita V. Bakre -Shastri for the respondent no.1.

CORAM; R.M.S.KHANDEPARKAR, J.

DTAED; 20TH DECEMBER, 2004.

P.C.

1. Heard.

2. Considering the fact that the impugned order is an interlocatory order, passed by the lower appellate court, during the pendency of the appeal, the question of interference therein, in exercise of section 115 CPC does not arise and hence the Revision Application is rejected.

*****